This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,066	09/911,066 07/23/2001		Kazuhisa Senda	YPO0028	3060
832	7590	04/04/2003			
BAKER &			EXAMINER		
SUITE 800			MELWANI, DINESH		
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3677	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/911,066	SENDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Dinesh N Melwani	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	lovember 2002						
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		e prospection as to the morite is					
closed in accordance with the practice under A	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9,11-13 and 17-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-9 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>11-13,17-20,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2002 is/ar		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8.1:	19(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	ity documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been	received.					
Attachment(s)	- p.101.1., allaal aa a.0.0. 33						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

Application/Control Number: 09/911,066

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of applicant's submission of:

Amendment B, which cancelled claims 1, 10, and 14-16 and added claims 21-23,

Filed on 11/18/02

Extension of Time (1 Mo.) filed on 11/18/02

The aforementioned items have been noted and officially inserted into the application.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claim 21 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Independent claim 21 and dependent claims 2-9 are directed to a <u>process</u> for producing a film-integrated gasket while the originally

presented claims were drawn to an article.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21 and 2-9 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/29/02 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being

considered by the examiner.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/911,066 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Drawings

4. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 11/18/02. These drawings are approved.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 18, 19, and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 18, 19, and 20 depend from **cancelled** claim 16. For the purposes of examination, claims 18, 19, and 20 have been treated has dependent from claim 23. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to what the "hardness of about 10 to 70" is related to or relative to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3677

9. Claims 11, 13, 18, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maeda *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,145,847). Maeda discloses a static gasket as claimed; wherein said gasket comprises a first carrier member and a second carrier member, i.e., the at least two metal plates (1, 2). Maeda's second carrier member is disposed under the first carrier member. Maeda also discloses an elastomeric polymer member (7) disposed on an upper surface of the first end portion of the first carrier member, said elastomeric polymer member having an adhesive component which causes said elastomeric polymer member to bond to said carrier member, see Fig. 2. Additionally, Maeda also disclose the use of a compression limiter provided adjacent to said elastomeric polymer member, see abstract. As it concerns claim 11 and 18, Maeda's carrier has a thickness of between about 10-500 μ m, see Fig. 2 and col. 4. In regards to claim 13, Maeda's elastomeric polymer has a hardness of between about 10 to 70. In regards to claim 19, Maeda's carrier member and elastomeric member have a combined thickness in the range of 0.1 to 10mm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 12,17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,145,847). Maeda discloses a static gasket substantially as claimed but fails to disclose the elastomeric polymer being selected from a group of silicone, fluorosilicone,

nitrile rubber and EPDM. As it concerns claim 20, Maeda discloses the carrier are made of metal instead of a polymer film selected from a group of polyesters, polyimides, and polyamides. The applicant is reminded that the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,066

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinesh N Melwani whose telephone number is 703-305-4546.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6 except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4115.

DNM April 1, 2003

> J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6